

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

J. MARK KANG (NYBN 4033999)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7050
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
E-Mail: Mark.Kang@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
PABLO PEREZ-ANGUIANO,)
a/k/a Jose Escalera Aguilera,)
a/k/a Jose Escalera,)
a/k/a Mario Escalera,)
Defendant.)

No. No. CR12-0090 RS

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
U.S.C. § 3161**

On April 17, 2012, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court set the matter to May 7, 2012. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between April 17, 2012 and May 7, 2012 from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

///

STIPULATION & ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 12-0090 RS

1 At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.

2 SO STIPULATED:

3
4 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

5
6 DATED: May 7, 2012

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
_____/s/
J. MARK KANG
Special Assistant United States Attorney

9 DATED: May 7, 2012

_____/s/
SHAWN HALBERT
Attorney for PABLO PEREZ-ANGUIANO

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER

2 For the reasons stated above and at the April 17, 2012 hearing, the Court finds that the
3 exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from April 17,
4 2012 through May 7, 2012 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
5 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.
6 §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the
7 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
8 diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11
12 DATED: 5/7/12

13 
14 THE HONORABLE RICHARD D. SEEBORG
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28